|                        | 1                              | ROUTIN      | G AND     | RECOR     | RD SHEET   |
|------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|--|
| SUBJECT                | : (Optional)                   |             |           |           |  |
| fROM:                  |                                |             |           | EXTENSION | NO.  |
| ' '                    | Acting Chief, Pol:             | icy & Plans | Group     |           |  |
|                        | 4E-70, Hdqs.                   |             |           |           | 4 March 1980   |
| TO: (Offi<br>building) | icer designation, room number, | and D       | ATE       | OFFICER'S | COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from who                   |
|                        |                                | RECEIVED    | FORWARDED | INITIALS  | to whom. Draw a line across column after each commen             |
| 1.                     | DD/PTOS                        | JUMPA       | 4.40      |           | Attached is latest edition of                                    |
| (1<br>2.               |                                | 80          |           |           | draft APEX Manual. Please review and provide any comments to PPG |
| ۷.                     | DD/PSI<br>4E-58, Hdqs.         | nang        |           |           | not later than 10 March 1980.                                    |
| 3.                     |                                | 12 MAK      |           |           | M  |
| X1                     | C/SSC                          |             | ANKES     | 55C       |  |
| 4.                     | C/SEG                          | wice o      | e come    | caring of | mod strong Crops   |
|                        | 4E-21, Hdqs.                   | 10 MAR      | C         |           |  |
| 5.                     |                                |             | *         |           |  |
|                        |                                |             |           |           |  |
| 6.                     |                                |             |           |           | e ·  |
|                        |                                |             |           |           |  |
| 7.                     |                                |             |           |           |  |
|                        |                                |             |           |           |  |
| 8.                     |                                |             |           |           |  |
| 9.                     |                                |             |           |           |  |
|                        |                                |             |           |           |  |
| 10.                    |                                |             |           |           |  |
|                        |                                |             |           |           | ·  |
| 11.                    |                                |             |           |           |  |
|                        |                                |             |           |           |  |
| 12.                    |                                |             |           |           |  |
|                        |                                |             |           |           |  |
| 13.                    |                                |             |           |           |  |
|                        |                                |             |           |           |  |
| 14.                    |                                |             |           |           |  |
|                        |                                |             |           |           |  |
| 5.                     |                                |             |           |           |  |

| Approved For Release | 2004/05/12 | : CIA-RDP85T007 | 88R000100060003-5 |
|----------------------|------------|-----------------|-------------------|
|----------------------|------------|-----------------|-------------------|

| , RC     | ROUTING AND TRANSMITTAL SLIP        |                         |                  |      |  |
|----------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|------|--|
| TO: (Nai | me, office symbo<br>ding, Agency/Po | ol, room number,<br>st) | Initials         | Date |  |
| 1        |                                     |                         |                  |      |  |
| 2.       |                                     |                         |                  |      |  |
| 3        |                                     |                         |                  |      |  |
| 4.       |                                     |                         |                  |      |  |
| 5.       |                                     |                         |                  |      |  |
| Actio    | n                                   | File                    | Note and Return  |      |  |
| Appr     | oval                                | For Clearance           | Per Conversation |      |  |
| As R     | lequested                           | For Correction          | Prepare Reply    |      |  |
| Circi    | ulate                               | For Your Information    | See Me           |      |  |
|          | ment                                | Investigate             | Signature        |      |  |
| _        | dination                            | Justify                 |                  |      |  |

REMARKS

DO NOT use this form as a RECORD of approvals, concurrences, disposals, clearances, and similar actions

| FROM: (Name, org. symbol, Agency/Post) | Room No.—Bldg. |
|--|----------------|
|  | Phone No.      |

5041–102 OPTIONAL FORM 41 (Rev. 7–76)
Prescribed by GSA
FPMR (41 CFR) 101–11.206

Approved For Release 2004/05/12 : CIA-RDP85T00788R000100060003-5 subbel The attached . The porkage is not complete in that Charlie ha not Rad a chance to prepare his own comments. Het will do so ASAP.

|  | OUTIN    | G AND     | RECOR              | D SHEET   |
|--|----------|-----------|--------------------|---|
| SUBJECT: (Optional)  APEX Indust                     | trial S  | Securit   | ty Manu            | al Draft-28 Feb 80  |
| FROM:  |          |           | EXTENSION          | NO.   |
| C/PSD/PTOS   |          |           | DATE 11 March 1980 |   |
| TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building) |          | ATE       | OFFICER'S          | COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.) |
| 1.   | RECEIVED | FORWARDED |                    | Sign of mile decisal column differ edeli comment.)  |
| DD/PTOS  | 11 MAR   |           | (T)                | Attached are the PSD comments on the latest edition of the  |
| 2. /LCO 14 MI  | PS 80    | )         |                    | draft APEX industrial manual. This was originally dead- lined for yesterday, but as                     |
| 3.   |          |           |                    | you know we got an extension from PPG until cob today.  |
| 4.   |          |           |                    | In general, the comments are minor. One question I would suggest is the overall                         |
| 5.   |          |           |                    | classification of the manual, which we feel should be unclassified. Except for the                      |
| 6.   |          |           |                    | two question-marked para-<br>graphs, all others are marked<br>"unclassified." We see no                 |
| 7.   |          |           |                    | reason to classify the manual at all.   |
| 8.   |          |           |                    |   |
|  |          |           | 1                  |   |

610 USE PREVIOUS Proved For Release 2004/05/12 : CIA-RDP85T00788R000100060003-5

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

25X1

#### APEX INDUSTRIAL SECURITY MANUAL DRAFT

### 28 February 1980

#### PHYSICAL SECURITY DIVISION COMMENTS

The following comments are keyed by page and paragraph number to the 28 February 1980 DRAFT text:

### Page 1, Paragraph 5

Concern was expressed as to how the government would assure that the implementing guidance is uniform from one official or agency to another. It was felt that any such guidance should be reviewed by the APEX Steering Group before it is published for implementation.

### Page 2, Paragraph 8

This paragraph requires annual inspections of Contractor 🗸 APEX Control Facilities. Manpower limitations may make this an unrealistic expectation.

## Page 3, Paragraph 12

The annual revalidation of access approvals required by this paragraph is a good idea, but will require extensive contractor input, the cost of which will be passed on by the contractor to the government.

# Page 5, Paragraph 17 E

annual rebriefings. We believe that annual rebriefing of our industrial contractors is a valid and desirable concept.

6. Paragraph 18

It would appear from the content of this annual residence in the content of the content of this annual residence in the content of the conte The Chief Praison of the

# Page 6, Paragraph 18

that need-to-know approval rests solely with the responsible Senior Intelligence Officer. Based on our experience, Program Managers and Office Directors are in a better position to know who really needs to know. We would suggest that the need-to-know authority be delegated to that level.

Approved For Release 2004/05/12 : CIA-RDP85T00788R00010006000325

## Page 11, Paragraph 39

The use of the work "generally" in line nine of this paragraph could lead one to speculate about the exceptions. If there are exceptions, we again have a situation where it would be difficult to tell just who would know how much about any given activity.

## Page 12, Paragraph 43

We would suggest use here of wording similar to that seen on page 14, paragraph 48, which would permit reinspections to be scheduled at the discretion of the Senior Intelligence Such an approach would seem more in line with manpower and budgetary limitations. As a separate issue related currently enforced document inventory percentages be the ones used ". . . to ensure that accountability and control are being maintained."]

17, Paragraph 59D

If interior pages of APEX documents bear no control how are they to be controlled in the controlled in t

### Page 17, Paragraph 59D

how are they to be controlled if they should ever become separated from the basic document? How, for example, would lost portions of documents, once found, be traced back to the original? How would a contractor employee, displaying a document on a cathode ray tube (CRT) in order to make a hard copy of it, know what number to use to apply a control to the new document? Would he or she have to page all the way back to the first page to find the control, copy, and series numbers? What real control does the APEX Control System have if most of the materials in it are to bear no control numbers?

# Page 19, Paragraph 63

We would suggest that the word "should" in line eight be replaced with the word "will."

# Page 21, Paragraph 77

The question mark at the end of this paragraph caused us concern. Was it used because there is some question about the classification of the content of the paragraph? It would appear that the paragraph's content is UNCLASSIFIED.

# Page 23, Paragraph 87

The same comment applies as that immediately preceding.

## Page 25, Paragraph 95

An APEX Security Officer (ASO) works for the government. A contractor APEX Security Officer (CASO) works for the contractor. In order to be consistent with current procedures, and to result in cost savings to the government, we would suggest that both the ASO and the CASO, as appropriate, be authorized to indoctrinate approved personnel concerning the APEX Special Access Control System.